arXiv:1207.3565v2 [math.PR] 25 Sep 2012

DENSITIES FOR SDES DRIVEN BY DEGENERATE α-STABLE PROCESSES

XICHENG ZHANG

Abstract. In this work, by using the Malliavin calculus, under Hörmander's condition, we prove the existence of distributional densities for the solutions of stochastic differential equations driven by degenerate subordinated Brownian motions. In particular, we obtain the existence of weak solutions for degenerate fractional Fokker-Planck equations with Dirac initial values. Moreover, in a special degenerate case, we also obtain the smoothness of the density.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Consider the following stochastic differential equation (abbreviated as SDE) in $\mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + A\mathrm{d}W_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.1}$$

where $b : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is a smooth Lipschitz function, $A = (a_{ij})$ is a $d \times d$ constant square matrix, and $(W_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is a standard d-dimensional Brownian motion on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P)$. It is well-known that if $A_i = \sum_{j=1}^d a_{ij}\partial_j$ and $A_0 = \sum_{i=1}^d b_i\partial_i$ satisfies Hörmander's condition, then the solution $X_t(x)$ of SDE (1.1) admits a smooth density $p_t(x,y)$ (cf. [16]). Moreover, by Itô's formula, $p_t(x,y)$ satisfies the following Fokker-Planck equation:

$$\partial_t p_t(x,y) = \tfrac{1}{2}a_{ik}a_{jk}\partial_{y_i}\partial_{y_j}p_t(x,y) + \partial_{y_i}(b_i(y)p_t(x,y)), \quad p_0(x,y) = \delta_x(y),$$

where we used the convention: a repeated index in a product will be summed automatically.

Let $(L_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a rotationally invariant d-dimensional α-stable process, i.e., its characteristic function is given by

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}z\cdot L_t} = \mathrm{e}^{-t|z|^\alpha}, \quad \alpha \in (0,2). \tag{1.2}$$

Let us now consider the following SDE driven by L_t:

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + A\mathrm{d}L_t, \quad X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{1.3}$$

A quite natural question is this: does the solution to SDE (1.3) admit a smooth density under Hörmander's condition? In the nondegenerate case (i.e. A positive), there are many works tackling this problem (cf. [6, 5, 17, 21, 7, 11, 2] etc.), and most of these works are based on developing the Malliavin calculus for jump processes. However, in the degenerate case, there are few results dealing with this problem. We mention that Takeuchi [21] and Cass [7] has already studied the SDEs with jumps under Hörmander's condition. But, their Brownian diffusion term can not disappear, and the α-stable noise is not allowed. Moreover, Hörmander's theorem recently has also been extended to the SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motions (cf. [3, 8, 10]).

For equation (1.3), to the author's knowledge, it is even not known whether there is an absolutely continuous density under Hörmander's condition. It is pointed out that the absolute continuity has also been studied in many works (cf. [15, 9, 18, 13], etc.). Let us first look at the linear case of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = BX_t\mathrm{d}t + A\mathrm{d}L_t, X_0 = x, \tag{1.4}$$

where B is a $d \times d$-matrix. The generator of this SDE is given by $\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)}_A + Bx \cdot \nabla$, where the nonlocal operator $\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)}_A$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)}_A f(x) := \mathrm{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [f(x + Ay) - f(x)] \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}, \tag{1.5}$$

where P.V. stands for the Cauchy principal value. Recently, Priola and Zabczyk [18] proved that X_t has a smooth density under the following Kalman's condition (see also [12] for further discussions on this condition):

$$\mathrm{Rank}[A, BA, \cdots, B^{d-1}A] = d. \tag{1.6}$$

In fact, the solution of (1.4) is explicitly given by

$$X_t = \mathrm{e}^{tB}x + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)B} A \mathrm{d}L_s =: \mathrm{e}^{tB}x + Z_t.$$

Using the approximation of step functions, by (1.2) it is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}z\cdot Z_t} = \mathbb{E}\exp\left\{\mathrm{i}z \cdot \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)B} A \mathrm{d}L_s\right\} = \exp\left\{-\int_0^t |z^* \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)B} A|^\alpha \mathrm{d}s\right\},$$

where $*$ stands for the transpose of a column vector. Hence, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z|^m \mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}z\cdot Z_t} \mathrm{d}z &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z|^m \exp\left\{-\int_0^t |z^* \mathrm{e}^{(t-s)B} A|^\alpha \mathrm{d}s\right\} \mathrm{d}z \\ &\leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z|^m \exp\left\{-|z|^\alpha \inf_{|a|=1} \int_0^t |a\mathrm{e}^{sB} A|^\alpha \mathrm{d}s\right\} \mathrm{d}z.\end{aligned}$$

Here and below, "a" denotes a row vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$. By (1.6), one has

$$\inf_{|a|=1} \int_0^t |a\mathrm{e}^{sB} A|^\alpha \mathrm{d}s > 0,$$

and so,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |z|^m \mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}z\cdot Z_t} \mathrm{d}z < +\infty, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, Z_t admits a smooth density by [20, Proposition 28.1], and so does X_t.

We now turn to the nonlinear case. Before stating our main results, we first recall some notions about the subordinated Brownian motion. Let $(S_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ be an increasing one dimensional Lévy process (called subordinator) on $\mathbb{R}_+$ with Laplace transform:

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{-sS_t} = \exp\left\{t \int_0^\infty (\mathrm{e}^{-su} - 1)\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u)\right\},$$

where ν_S is the Lévy measure satisfying $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and

$$\int_0^\infty (1 \wedge u)\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u).$$

Below, we assume that $(S_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ is independent of $(W_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$, and

$$P\{\omega : \exists t > 0 \text{ such that } S_t(\omega) = 0\} = 0, \tag{1.7}$$

which means that for almost all ω, $t \mapsto S_t(\omega)$ is *strictly* increasing (see Lemma 2.1 below). Notice that the Poisson process does not satisfy such an assumption, and α-stable subordinator meets this assumption (see [4, p.88, Theorem 11]). Essentially, condition (1.7) is a nondegenerate assumption, and says that the subordinator has infinitely many jumps on any interval. In particular, the process defined by

$$L_t := W_{S_t}, \quad t \geqslant 0, \tag{1.8}$$

is a Lévy process (called subordinated Brownian motion) with characteristic function:

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}z\cdot L_t} = \exp\left\{t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}z\cdot y} - 1 - \mathrm{i}z\cdot y1_{|y|\leqslant 1})\nu_L(\mathrm{d}y)\right\},$$

where ν_L is the Lévy measure given by

$$\nu_L(\Gamma) = \int_0^\infty (2\pi s)^{-d/2}\left(\int_\Gamma \mathrm{e}^{-|y|^2/2s}\mathrm{d}y\right)\nu_S(\mathrm{d}s). \tag{1.9}$$

Obviously, ν_L is a symmetric measure.

The first aim of this paper is to prove the following existence result of distributional density to SDE (1.3) under Hörmander's condition.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $b:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$ be a C^∞-function with bounded partial derivatives of first order. For $x\in\mathbb{R}^d$, let $X_t(x)$ solve SDE (1.3) with subordinated Brownian motion L_t. Assume that for some $n = n(x)\in\mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathrm{Rank}[A, B_1(x)A, B_2(x)A, \cdots, B_n(x)A] = d, \tag{$\mathscr{H}_n$}$$

where $B_1(x) := (\nabla b)_{ij}(x) = (\partial_j b^i(x))_{ij}$, and for $n\geqslant 2$,

$$B_n(x) := (b^i\partial_i B_{n-1})(x) - (\nabla b\cdot B_{n-1})(x). \tag{1.10}$$

Then the law of $X_t(x)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. In particular, the density $p_t(x,y)$ solves the following nonlocal Fokker-Plack equation in the weak sense:

$$\partial_t p_t(x,y) = \mathcal{L}_A p_t(x,\cdot)(y) + \partial_{y_i}(b_i(y)p_t(x,y)),\quad p_0(x,y) = \delta_x(y),$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_A f(y) := \mathrm{P.V.}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}[f(y+Az) - f(y)]\nu_L(\mathrm{d}z).$$

For the smoothness of $p_t(x,y)$, we have the following partial result.

Theorem 1.2. *In the situation of Theorem 1.1, we also assume that the Lévy measure ν_S has finite moments of all orders and satisfies for some $\theta > 0$,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1-2\theta}}\int_0^\varepsilon u\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u) = c_\theta > 0, \tag{1.11}$$

moreover, the following uniform Hörmander condition holds:

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\inf_{|a|=1}\left(|aA|^2 + |a\nabla b(x)A|^2\right) = c_1 > 0. \tag{$U\mathscr{H}_1$}$$

Then the density $p_t(x,y)$ is a smooth function on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 1.3. *Let $\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u) = u^{-(1+\alpha)}\mathrm{d}u$ be the Lévy measure of α-stable subordinator. It is easy to see that (1.11) holds for $\theta = \alpha/2$.*

Remark 1.4. *If $b(x) = Bx$, then condition ($\mathscr{H}_n$) reduces to (1.6). A typical example for ($U\mathscr{H}_1$) is the following stochastic Hamilton system driven by subordinated Brownian motion:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t = \nabla_y H(X_t, Y_t)\mathrm{d}t, & X_0 = x\in\mathbb{R}^d,\\ \mathrm{d}Y_t = -\nabla_x H(X_t, Y_t)\mathrm{d}t + A\mathrm{d}L_t, & Y_0 = y\in\mathbb{R}^d,\end{cases} \tag{1.12}$$

where A is a $d\times d$-invertible matrix, and $H:\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}$ is a C^2-Hamiltonian function with that $y\mapsto H(x,y)$ is strictly convex or concave. In this case, it is easy to see that ($U\mathscr{H}_1$) holds.

Let us now describe the main argument of proving these two theorems (see [14, 13, 22]). Let $(\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{H}, \mu_{\mathbb{W}})$ be the classical Wiener space, i.e., $\mathbb{W}$ is the space of all continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}^+$ to $\mathbb{R}^d$ with vanishing values at starting point 0, $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{W}$ is the Cameron-Martin space consisting of all absolutely continuous functions with square integrable derivatives, $\mu_{\mathbb{W}}$ is the Wiener measure so that the coordinate process

$$W_t(w) := w_t$$

is a standard d-dimensional Brownian motion.

Let $\mathbb{S}$ be the space of all increasing, purely discontinuous and càdlàg functions from $\mathbb{R}_+$ to $\mathbb{R}_+$ with $\ell_0 = 0$, which is endowed with the Skorohod metric and the probability measure $\mu_{\mathbb{S}}$ so that the coordinate process

$$S_t(\ell) := \ell_t$$

has the same law as the given subordinator. Consider the following product probability space

$$(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, P) := (\mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{S}, \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{W}) \times \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{S}), \mu_{\mathbb{W}} \times \mu_{\mathbb{S}}),$$

and define

$$L_t(w, \ell) := w_{\ell_t}.$$

Then $(L_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$ has the same law as the given subordinated Brownian motion. In particular, the solution $X_t(x)$ of SDE (1.3) can be regarded as a functional of w and ℓ, and

$$\mathbb{E} f(X_t(x)) = \int_{\mathbb{S}}\int_{\mathbb{W}} f(X_t(x, w_\ell))\mu_{\mathbb{W}}(\mathrm{d}w)\mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathrm{d}\ell). \tag{1.13}$$

Thus, in order to prove Theorem 1.1, it is enough to prove that for each $\ell \in \mathbb{S}$, the law of $w \mapsto X_t(x, w_\ell)$ under $\mu_{\mathbb{W}}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. The advantage of this viewpoint is that we can use the classical Malliavin calculus to study the Brownian functional $w \to X_t(x, w_\ell)$ (see [14, 13]).

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.1 by using the Mallavin calculus, where the main point is to prove the invertibility of the Malliavin covariance matrix $(\Sigma^\ell_t)_{\ell=S}$ in (2.5) below. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2 by establishing a Norris' type lemma as in [7]. Before concluding this section, we mention the following two open questions:

- In Theorem 1.2, can we remove the assumption that S_t has finite moments of all orders so that it can cover the fractional Laplacian?
- Can we obtain the smoothness of the density under the following uniform Hörmander's condition:

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\inf_{|a|=1}\left(|aA|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n |aB_j(x)A|^2\right) > 0? \tag{$U\mathscr{H}_n$}$$

This condition means that A would be more degenerate as n becomes bigger. If one uses the argument below, the main challenge for solving this question is to prove the L^p-integrability of the inverse of the Malliavin covariance matrix. Notice that the technique developed in [7] seems not work for the general $(U\mathscr{H}_n)$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We need the following simple lemma about the density of the jump number of the subordinator.

Lemma 2.1. *For $s > 0$, set $\Delta\ell_s := \ell_s - \ell_{s-}$ and*

$$\mathbb{S}_0 := \{\ell \in \mathbb{S} : \{s : \Delta\ell_s > 0\} \text{ is dense in } [0, \infty)\}.$$

Under (1.7), we have $\mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbb{S}_0) = 1$.

Proof. Let $\mathscr{I}$ be the total of all rational intervals in $[0,\infty)$, i.e.,

$$\mathscr{I} := \{I = (a,b) : a < b \text{ are rational numbers}\}.$$

For $I \in \mathscr{I}$, let us write

$$\mathbb{S}_I := \{\ell \in \mathbb{S} : I \subset \{s : \Delta\ell_s = 0\}\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{S} - \mathbb{S}_0 = \cup_{I\in\mathscr{I}}\mathbb{S}_I.$$

Thus, for proving $\mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbb{S}_0) = 1$, it is enough to prove that for each $I = (a,b) \in \mathscr{I}$,

$$\mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\mathbb{S}_I) = \mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\{\ell \in \mathbb{S} : (a,b) \subset \{s : \Delta\ell_s = 0\}\}) = 0,$$

which, by the stationarity of the subordinator, is equivalent to

$$\mu_{\mathbb{S}}(\{\ell \in \mathbb{S} : (0,b-a) \subset \{s : \Delta\ell_s = 0\}\}) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Since

$$\{\ell \in \mathbb{S} : (0,b-a) \subset \{s : \Delta\ell_s = 0\}\} = \{\ell \in \mathbb{S} : \ell_s = 0,\ \forall s \in (0,b-a)\},$$

by (1.7), we obtain (2.1), and complete the proof. □

For a functional F on $\mathbb{W}$, the Malliavin derivative of F along the direction $h \in \mathbb{H}$ is defined as

$$D_hF(w) := \lim_{\varepsilon\to0}\frac{F(w+\varepsilon h) - F(w)}{\varepsilon} \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{W},\mu_{\mathbb{W}}).$$

If $h \mapsto D_hF$ is bounded, then there exists a unique $DF \in L^2(\mathbb{W},\mu_{\mathbb{W}};\mathbb{H})$ such that

$$\langle DF, h\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = D_hF,\ \forall h \in \mathbb{H}.$$

In this case we shall write $F \in \mathscr{D}(D)$ and call DF the Malliavin gradient of F.

For $\ell \in \mathbb{S}_0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, let $X^\ell_t(x) = X^\ell_t$ solve the following SDE:

$$X^\ell_t = x + \int_0^t b(X^\ell_s)\mathrm{d}s + AW_{\ell_t}. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $J^\ell_t = J^\ell_t(x) = \nabla X^\ell_t(x)$ be the derivative matrix of $X^\ell_t(x)$ with respect to the initial value x. It is easy to see that

$$J^\ell_t = I + \int_0^t \nabla b(X^\ell_s)\cdot J^\ell_s\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.3}$$

Let K^ℓ_t be the inverse matrix of J^ℓ_t. Then K^ℓ_t satisfies

$$K^\ell_t = I - \int_0^t K^\ell_s\cdot\nabla b(X^\ell_s)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, we also have $X^\ell_t(x) \in \mathscr{D}(D)$. The Malliavin covariance matrix is defined by

$$(\Sigma^\ell_t)_{ij} := \langle D(X^\ell_t)^i, D(X^\ell_t)^j\rangle_{\mathbb{H}}.$$

The following lemma provides an explicit expression of Σ^ℓ_t in terms of J^ℓ_t, which is crucial in the Malliavin's proof of Hörmander's hypoellipticity theorem.

Lemma 2.2. *We have*

$$\Sigma^\ell_t = J^\ell_t\left(\int_0^t K^\ell_sAA^*(K^\ell_s)^*\mathrm{d}\ell_s\right)(J^\ell_t)^*, \tag{2.5}$$

where $*$ denotes the transpose of a matrix.

Proof. For $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, we define

$$\ell^\varepsilon_t := \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon} \ell_s \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^1 \ell_{\varepsilon s+t}\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.6}$$

Since $t \mapsto \ell_t$ is strictly increasing and right continuous, it follows that for each $t \geqslant 0$,

$$\ell^\varepsilon_t \downarrow \ell_t \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Moreover, $t \mapsto \ell^\varepsilon_t$ is absolutely continuous and strictly increasing. Let γ^ε be the inverse function of ℓ^ε, i.e.,

$$\ell^\varepsilon_{\gamma^\varepsilon_t} = t,\ t \geqslant \ell^\varepsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^\varepsilon_{\ell^\varepsilon_t} = t,\ t \geqslant 0.$$

By definition, γ^ε_t is also absolutely continuous on $[\ell^\varepsilon_0, \infty)$. Let $X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t$ solve the following SDE

$$X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t = x + \int_0^t b(X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s)\mathrm{d}s + A(W_{\ell^\varepsilon_t} - W_{\ell^\varepsilon_0}).$$

Let us now define

$$Y^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t(x) := X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_{\gamma^\varepsilon_t}(x), \quad t \geqslant \ell^\varepsilon_0.$$

By the change of variables, one sees that

$$Y^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t = x + \int_{\ell^\varepsilon_0}^t b(Y^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s)\dot{\gamma}^\varepsilon_s\mathrm{d}s + A(W_t - W_{\ell^\varepsilon_0}).$$

It is well-known that (cf. [16, p.127, (2.60)])

$$\langle DY^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t, DY^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \nabla Y^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t\left(\int_{\ell^\varepsilon_0}^t (\nabla Y^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s)^{-1}AA^*((\nabla Y^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s)^{-1})^*\mathrm{d}s\right)(\nabla Y^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t)^*.$$

By the change of variables again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle DX^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t, DX^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} &= \nabla X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t\left(\int_{\ell^\varepsilon_0}^{\ell^\varepsilon_t} (\nabla Y^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s)^{-1}AA^*((\nabla Y^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s)^{-1})^*\mathrm{d}s\right)(\nabla X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t)^* \\
&= \nabla X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t\left(\int_0^t (\nabla X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s)^{-1}AA^*((\nabla X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s)^{-1})^*\mathrm{d}\ell^\varepsilon_s\right)(\nabla X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t)^* \\
&= J^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t\left(\int_0^t K^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s AA^*(K^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s)^*\mathrm{d}\ell^\varepsilon_s\right)(J^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t)^*. \qquad (2.8)
\end{aligned}$$

From equation (2.2), it is easy to see that for each $t \geqslant 0$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}|X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t - X^\ell_t| \leqslant C\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}|W_{\ell^\varepsilon_t} - W_{\ell_t}| = 0.$$

Thus, by equations (2.3) and (2.4), we also have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\sup_{s\in[0,t]}|J^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s - J^\ell_s| = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\sup_{s\in[0,t]}|K^{\ell^\varepsilon}_s - K^\ell_s| = 0.$$

Taking limits for both sides of (2.8) we obtain (2.5) (see [22]). □

The following lemma is a direct application of Itô's formula (cf. [19, p.81, Theorem 33]).

Lemma 2.3. *Let $V : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{M}^d$ be a $d \times d$-matrix valued smooth function. We have*

$$K_t^\ell V(X_t^\ell) = V(x) + \int_0^t K_s^\ell (b \cdot \nabla V - \nabla b \cdot V)(X_s^\ell) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t K_s^\ell \cdot (\nabla V)(X_{s-}^\ell) \cdot A \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}$$
$$+ \sum_{0<s\leqslant t} K_s^\ell \Big(V(X_s^\ell) - V(X_{s-}^\ell) - \nabla V(X_{s-}^\ell) \cdot \Delta X_s^\ell \Big),$$

where $\Delta X_s^\ell := X_s^\ell - X_{s-}^\ell = W_{\ell_s} - W_{\ell_{s-}}$.

We are now in a position to give

Proof of Theorem 1.1: By Lemma 2.1 and (1.13), it is enough to prove that for each $\ell \in \mathbb{S}_0$, the law of X_t^ℓ under $\mu_{\mathbb{W}}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. By [16, p.97, Theorem 2.1.2], it suffices to prove that Σ_t^ℓ is invertible. Since J_t^ℓ is invertible, we only need to show that for any row vector $a \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\int_0^t |aK_s^\ell A|^2 \mathrm{d}\ell_s > 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Suppose that

$$\int_0^t |aK_s^\ell A|^2 \mathrm{d}\ell_s = \sum_{s\in(0,t]} |aK_s^\ell A|^2 \Delta\ell_s = 0,$$

then by Lemma 2.1 and the continuity of $s \mapsto |aK_s^\ell A|$, we have

$$aK_s^\ell A = 0, \ \ \forall s \in [0, t].$$

Thus, by (2.4) we get

$$0 = aK_{t'}^\ell A = aA - \int_0^{t'} aK_s^\ell (\nabla b)(X_s^\ell) A \mathrm{d}s, \ \ \forall t' \in [0, t],$$

which in turn implies that

$$aA = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

and by the right continuity of $s \mapsto X_s^\ell$,

$$aK_s^\ell B_1(X_s^\ell) A = aK_s^\ell (\nabla b)(X_s^\ell) A = 0, \ \forall s \in [0, t]. \tag{2.11}$$

Now we use induction method to prove that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$aK_s^\ell B_n(X_s^\ell) A = 0, \ \forall s \in [0, t]. \tag{2.12}$$

Suppose that (2.12) is true for some n. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$K_t^\ell B_n(X_t^\ell) = B_n(x) + \int_0^t K_s^\ell B_{n+1}(X_s^\ell) \mathrm{d}s + M_t + V_t,$$

where

$$M_t := \int_0^t K_s^\ell \cdot (\nabla B_n)(X_{s-}^\ell) \cdot A \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s},$$

and

$$V_t := \sum_{0<s\leqslant t} K_s^\ell \Big(B_n(X_s^\ell) - B_n(X_{s-}^\ell) - (\nabla B_n)(X_{s-}^\ell) \cdot \Delta(AW_{\ell_s}) \Big).$$

Thus, by (2.12) we have

$$\int_0^{t'} aK_s^\ell B_{n+1}(X_s^\ell) A \mathrm{d}s + aM_{t'} A + aV_{t'} A = 0, \ \ \forall t' \in [0, t]. \tag{2.13}$$

By the induction assumption (2.12), we have

$$aK^\ell_s B_n(X^\ell_s)A = aK^\ell_s B_n(X^\ell_{s-})A = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}aV_{t'}A &= -\sum_{0<s\leqslant t'} aK^\ell_s \cdot (\nabla B_n)(X^\ell_{s-}) \cdot \Delta(AW_{\ell_s}) \cdot A\\ &= -\int_0^{t'} aK^\ell_s \cdot (\nabla B_n)(X^\ell_{s-}) \cdot A\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s} \cdot A = -aM_{t'}A,\end{aligned}$$

which together with (2.13) implies that

$$aK^\ell_s B_{n+1}(X^\ell_s)A = 0,\ \forall s \in [0,t].$$

The assertion (2.12) is thus proved. Combining (2.10) and (2.12) and by letting $s \to 0$, we obtain

$$aA = aB_1(x)A = \cdots = aB_n(x)A = 0,$$

which is contrary to ($\mathscr{H}_n$). The proof is thus complete.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we use the following filtration:

$$\mathscr{F}_t := \sigma\{W_{S_s}, S_s : s \leqslant t\}.$$

Clearly, for $t > s$, $W_{S_t} - W_{S_s}$ and $S_t - S_s$ are independent of $\mathscr{F}_s$.

Let us first prove the following estimate of exponential type about the subordinator S_t.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f_s : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a bounded continuous nonnegative $\mathscr{F}_t$-adapted process. For any $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, we have*

$$P\left\{\int_0^t f_s\mathrm{d}S_s \leqslant \varepsilon; \int_0^t f_s\mathrm{d}s > \delta\right\} \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{1-\phi(1/\varepsilon)\delta},$$

where

$$\phi(\lambda) := \frac{\lambda}{2}\int_0^{\frac{\log 2}{\lambda\|f\|_\infty}} u\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u), \quad \lambda > 0,$$

and ν_S is the Lévy measure of the subordinator S_t.

Proof. For $\lambda > 0$, set

$$g^\lambda_s := \int_0^\infty (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda f_s u})\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u)$$

and

$$M^\lambda_t := -\lambda\int_0^t f_s\mathrm{d}S_s + \int_0^t g^\lambda_s\mathrm{d}s.$$

Let $\mu(t, \mathrm{d}u)$ be the Poisson random measure associated with S_t, i.e.,

$$\mu(t, U) := \sum_{s\leqslant t} 1_U(\Delta S_s), \quad U \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

Let $\tilde\mu(t, \mathrm{d}u)$ be the compensated Poisson random measure of $\mu(t, \mathrm{d}u)$, i.e.,

$$\tilde\mu(t, \mathrm{d}u) = \mu(t, \mathrm{d}u) - t\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u).$$

Then we can write

$$\int_0^t f_s\mathrm{d}S_s = \int_0^t\int_0^\infty f_s u\mu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u) = \int_0^t\int_0^\infty f_s u\tilde\mu(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u) + \int_0^t\int_0^\infty f_s u\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u)\mathrm{d}s.$$

By Itô's formula, we have

$$\mathrm{e}^{M_t^\lambda} = 1 + \int_0^t\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{M_{s-}^\lambda}[\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda f_s u} - 1]\tilde{\mu}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}u).$$

Since for $x > 0$,

$$1 - \mathrm{e}^{-x} \leqslant 1 \wedge x,$$

we have

$$g_s^\lambda \leqslant \int_0^\infty (1 \wedge (\lambda \|f\|_\infty u))\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u)$$

and

$$M_t^\lambda \leqslant \int_0^t g_s^\lambda \mathrm{d}s \leqslant t \int_0^\infty (1 \wedge (\lambda \|f\|_\infty u))\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u).$$

Hence, for any $\lambda > 0$ and $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{M_t^\lambda} = 1.$$

On the other hand, since for any $\kappa \in (0, 1)$ and $x \leqslant -\log k$,

$$1 - \mathrm{e}^{-x} \geqslant \kappa x,$$

we have

$$g_s^\lambda \geqslant \int_0^{\frac{\log 2}{\lambda\|f\|_\infty}} (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda f_s u})\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u) \geqslant \frac{\lambda f_s}{2} \int_0^{\frac{\log 2}{\lambda\|f\|_\infty}} u\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u) = \phi(\lambda) f_s.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{\int_0^t f_s \mathrm{d}S_s \leqslant \varepsilon; \int_0^t f_s \mathrm{d}s > \delta\right\} &\subset \left\{\mathrm{e}^{M_t^\lambda} \geqslant \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\varepsilon + \int_0^t g_s^\lambda \mathrm{d}s}; \int_0^t g_s^\lambda \mathrm{d}s > \phi(\lambda)\delta\right\} \\ &\subset \left\{\mathrm{e}^{M_t^\lambda} \geqslant \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\varepsilon + \phi(\lambda)\delta}\right\}\end{aligned}$$

which then implies the result by Chebyschev's inequality and letting $\lambda = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. □

Let $N(t, \mathrm{d}y)$ be the Poisson random measure associated with $L_t = W_{S_t}$, i.e.,

$$N(t, \Gamma) = \sum_{s \leqslant t} 1_\Gamma(L_s - L_{s-}), \quad \Gamma \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let $\tilde{N}(t, \mathrm{d}y)$ be the compensated Poisson random measure of $N(t, \mathrm{d}y)$, i.e.,

$$\tilde{N}(t, \mathrm{d}y) = N(t, \mathrm{d}y) - t\nu_L(\mathrm{d}y),$$

where ν_L is the Lévy measure of L_t given by (1.9). By Lévy-Itô's decomposition, we have

$$L_t = W_{S_t} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y\tilde{N}(t, \mathrm{d}y), \tag{3.1}$$

where we have used $\int_{r<|y|\leqslant R} y\nu_L(\mathrm{d}y) = 0$.

We recall the following result about the exponential estimate of discontinuous martingale (cf. [7, Lemma 1]).

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f_s(y)$ be a bounded $\mathscr{F}_t$-predictable process with bound A. Then for any $\delta, \rho > 0$, we have*

$$P\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_s(y)\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y)\right| \geqslant \delta, \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f_s(y)|^2\nu_L(\mathrm{d}y)\mathrm{d}s < \rho\right\} \leqslant 2\exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2}{2(A\delta + \rho)}\right).$$

The following lemma is contained in the proof of Norris' lemma (cf. [16, p.137]).

Lemma 3.3. *For $T > 0$, let f be a bounded measurable $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued function on $[0, T]$. Assume that for some $\varepsilon < T$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$\int_0^T \left| x + \int_0^t f_s \mathrm{d}s \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t \leqslant \varepsilon^3.$$

Then we have

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \int_0^t f_s \mathrm{d}s \right| \leqslant 2(1 + \|f\|_\infty)\varepsilon.$$

Proof. By Chebyshev's inequality we have

$$\mathrm{Leb}\left\{ t \in [0, T] : \left| x + \int_0^t f_s \mathrm{d}s \right| \geqslant \varepsilon \right\} \leqslant \varepsilon < T.$$

Thus, for each $t \in [0, T]$, there exits an $s \in [0, T]$ such that

$$|s - t| \leqslant \varepsilon \ \text{ and } \ \left| x + \int_0^s f_r \mathrm{d}r \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Consequently, for such t, s,

$$\left| x + \int_0^t f_r \mathrm{d}r \right| \leqslant \left| x + \int_0^s f_r \mathrm{d}r \right| + \left| \int_s^t f_r \mathrm{d}r \right| \leqslant \varepsilon + \varepsilon \|f\|_\infty.$$

In particular,

$$|x| \leqslant \varepsilon + \varepsilon \|f\|_\infty,$$

hence,

$$\left| \int_0^t f_s \mathrm{d}s \right| \leqslant |x| + \left| x + \int_0^t f_s \mathrm{d}s \right| \leqslant 2(\varepsilon + \varepsilon \|f\|_\infty).$$

The proof is finished. □

We now prove the following Norris' type lemma (cf. [16, 7]).

Lemma 3.4. *Let $Y_t = y + \int_0^t \beta_s \mathrm{d}s$ be a $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued process, where β_t takes the following form:*

$$\beta_t = \beta_0 + \int_0^t \gamma_s \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_s(y) \tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y),$$

where γ_t and $g_t(y)$ are two $\mathscr{F}_t$-predictable $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued processes. Suppose that for some $C_1, C_2 > 0$ and all $s \geqslant 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|\beta_s| + |\gamma_s| \leqslant C_1, \quad |g_s(y)| \leqslant C_2(1 \wedge |y|). \tag{3.2}$$

Then for any $T > 0$ and $\delta < \frac{1}{3}$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(C_1, C_2, \delta) \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, T^3 \wedge \varepsilon_0)$,

$$P\left\{ \int_0^T |Y_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s < \varepsilon, \int_0^T |\beta_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s \geqslant \varepsilon^\delta \right\} \leqslant \exp\{-\varepsilon^{\delta - \frac{1}{3}}\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Let us define

$$h_t := \int_0^t \beta_s \mathrm{d}s, \qquad M_t := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle h_s, g_s(y) \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y),$$

and

$$E_1 := \left\{ \int_0^T |Y_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s < \varepsilon \right\}, \qquad E_2 := \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |h_t| \leqslant 2(1 + C_1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{3}} \right\},$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&E_3 := \left\{\langle M\rangle_T \leqslant C_3\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}\right\}, \qquad\qquad E_4 := \left\{\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|M_t| \leqslant \varepsilon^{\delta}\right\},\\
&E_5 := \left\{\int_0^T |\beta_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s < C_4\varepsilon^{\delta}\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

where C_3 and C_4 are determined below.

First of all, by Lemma 3.3, one sees that for $\varepsilon < T^3$,

$$
E_1 \subset E_2 \subset E_3, \tag{3.4}
$$

where the second inclusion is due to

$$
\langle M\rangle_T = \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\langle h_s, g_s(y)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}|^2 \nu_L(\mathrm{d}y)\mathrm{d}s \leqslant 4(1+C_1)^2C_2^2\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} 1\wedge|y|^2\nu_L(\mathrm{d}y)\right)\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}} =: C_3\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}}.
$$

On the other hand, by integration by parts formula, we have

$$
\int_0^T |\beta_t|^2\mathrm{d}t = \int_0^T \langle\beta_t, \mathrm{d}h_t\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} = \langle\beta_T, h_T\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d} - \int_0^T \langle h_t, \gamma_t\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{d}t - M_T.
$$

From this, one sees that for the choice of $C_4 = 4C_1(1+C_1)+1$,

$$
E_2 \cap E_4 \subset E_5.
$$

which together with (3.4) gives

$$
E_1 \cap E_5^c \subset E_2 \cap E_3 \cap E_4^c.
$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$
P(E_1 \cap E_5^c) \leqslant 2\exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^{2\delta}}{2(2(1+C_1)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{3}+\delta} + C_3\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{3}})}\right),
$$

and (3.3) follows by choosing $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(C_1, C_3, C_4, \delta)$ small enough. □

Below we set

$$
\Sigma_t := \Sigma_t^{\ell}|_{\ell=S}, \;\; K_t := K_t^{\ell}|_{\ell=S}, \;\; J_t := J_t^{\ell}|_{\ell=S}.
$$

The following lemma is the key step for proving the smoothness of $p_t(x,y)$.

Lemma 3.5. *For any $p > 1$ and $t > 0$, there exist an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C_p > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$,*

$$
\sup_{|a|=1} P\left\{\int_0^t |aK_sA|^2 \mathrm{d}S_s \leqslant \varepsilon\right\} \leqslant C_p\varepsilon^p. \tag{3.5}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and (1.11), for the given θ in (1.11), there exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left\{\int_0^t |aK_sA|^2\mathrm{d}S_s \leqslant \varepsilon\right\} &\leqslant P\left\{\int_0^t |aK_sA|^2\mathrm{d}S_s \leqslant \varepsilon, \int_0^t |aK_sA|^2\mathrm{d}s \geqslant \varepsilon^{\theta}\right\}\\
&\quad + P\left\{\int_0^t |aK_sA|^2\mathrm{d}s < \varepsilon^{\theta}\right\}\\
&\leqslant \exp\left\{1 - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{1-\theta}}\int_0^{C\varepsilon} u\nu_S(\mathrm{d}u)\right\} + P\left\{\int_0^t |aK_sA|^2\mathrm{d}s < \varepsilon^{\theta}\right\}\\
&\leqslant \exp\left\{1 - \varepsilon^{-\theta/2}\right\} + P\left\{\int_0^t |aK_sA|^2\mathrm{d}s < \varepsilon^{\theta}\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}
$$

Define now

$$E := \left\{ \int_0^t |aK_s A|^2 \mathrm{d}s < \varepsilon^{\theta} \right\}, \quad F := \left\{ \int_0^t |aK_s \nabla b(X_s) A|^2 \mathrm{d}s < \varepsilon^{\theta\delta} \right\}.$$

Notice that by (3.1),

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(X_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y\tilde{N}(t, \mathrm{d}y).$$

If we set $Y_t := aK_t A$ and

$$\beta_t := aK_t \nabla b(X_t) A, \qquad g_t(y) := aK_t(\nabla b(X_{t-} + Ay) - \nabla b(X_{t-}))A,$$

$$\gamma_t := aK_t B_2(X_t) A + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} aK_t \Big(\nabla b(X_t + Ay) - \nabla b(X_t) - Ay \cdot \nabla b(X_t) \Big) A \nu(\mathrm{d}y),$$

then by equation (2.4) and Itô's formula, one sees that $Y_t = aA + \int_0^t \beta_s \mathrm{d}s$ and

$$\beta_t = a\nabla b(x) A + \int_0^t \gamma_s \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g_s(y) \tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y).$$

By the assumptions, it is easy to see that

$$|\beta_t| + |\gamma_t| \leqslant C_1(\|\nabla b\|_\infty, \|\nabla^2 b\|_\infty, \|A\|)$$

and

$$|g_t(y)| \leqslant C_2(\|\nabla b\|_\infty, \|\nabla^2 b\|_\infty, \|A\|)(1 \wedge |y|).$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.4 we have

$$P(E) = P(E \cap F^c) + P(E \cap F) \leqslant \exp(-\varepsilon^{\theta(\delta - \frac{1}{3})}) + P(E \cap F).$$

Define

$$\tau := \inf \left\{ s \geqslant 0 : |K_s - I| \geqslant \tfrac{1}{2} \right\} \wedge t.$$

Then

$$P(E \cap F) \leqslant P(E \cap F \cap \{\tau \geqslant \varepsilon^{\delta\theta}\}) + P(\tau < \varepsilon^{\delta\theta}).$$

Notice that

$$P(\tau < \varepsilon^{\delta\theta}) \leqslant P \left\{ \sup_{s \in (0, \varepsilon^{\delta\theta} \wedge t)} |K_s - I| \geqslant \tfrac{1}{2} \right\} \leqslant 2^p \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in (0, \varepsilon^{\delta\theta} \wedge t)} |K_s - I|^p \right) \leqslant C(\varepsilon^{\delta\theta} \wedge t)^p,$$

and by $(U\mathscr{H}_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} E \cap F &\subset \left\{ \int_0^t (|aK_s A|^2 + |aK_s \nabla b(X_s) A|^2) \mathrm{d}s < \varepsilon^{\theta} + \varepsilon^{\delta\theta} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{|aK_s A|^2 + |aK_s \nabla b(X_s) A|^2}{|aK_s|^2} |aK_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s < 2\varepsilon^{\delta\theta} \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ c_1 \int_0^t |aK_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s < 2\varepsilon^{\delta\theta} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since on $\{\tau \geqslant \varepsilon^{\delta\theta}\}$,

$$|aK_s| \geqslant 1 - |K_s - I| \geqslant \tfrac{1}{2}, \quad s \in [0, t],$$

it is easy to see that for any $\varepsilon < (\frac{c_1 t}{8})^{\frac{1}{\delta\theta}}$,

$$E \cap F \cap \{\tau \geqslant \varepsilon^q\} = \emptyset.$$

Hence, in view of $\delta < \frac{1}{3}$, there exists ε_0 small enough such that for any $p > 1$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$,

$$P(E) \leqslant C\varepsilon^{\delta\theta p},$$

which together with (3.6) yields (3.5). The proof is complete. □

W also need the following standard result.

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that S_t has finite moments of all orders and $b \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has bounded derivatives of all orders. Then for any $m = 1, 2, \cdots$ and $p > 1$, we have*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}\left(\|D^m X_t^\ell\|^p_{\mathbb{H}^{\otimes m}}\Big|_{\ell=S} \right) < +\infty.$$

Proof. Noticing that

$$DX_t^\ell = \int_0^t \nabla b(X_s) DX_s^\ell \mathrm{d}s + \ell_t \wedge \cdot,$$

we have

$$\|DX_t^\ell\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leqslant \|\nabla b\|_\infty \int_0^t \|DX_s^\ell\|_{\mathbb{H}} \mathrm{d}s + \ell_t^{1/2}.$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\|DX_t^\ell\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leqslant \ell_t^{1/2} + C \int_0^t \ell_s^{1/2} \mathrm{d}s.$$

Hence, for any $p > 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\|DX_t^\ell\|^p_{\mathbb{H}}\Big|_{\ell=S} \right) \leqslant C\mathbb{E}|S_t|^{p/2} + C \int_0^t \mathbb{E}|S_s|^{p/2} \mathrm{d}s < +\infty$$

The higher order derivatives can be estimated similarly. □

Now we can give

Proof of Theorem 1.2: By [16, p.102, Theorem 2.1.4] and Lemma 3.6, it suffices to prove that for any $p > 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}|\Sigma_t^{-1}|^p < +\infty. \tag{3.7}$$

Notice that by (2.5),

$$\Sigma_t = J_t \left(\int_0^t K_s A A^* K_s^* \mathrm{d}S_s \right) J_t^*.$$

Since $J_t^{-1} = K_t$ is bounded, we only need to prove that for any $p > 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left| \left(\int_0^t K_s A A^* K_s^* \mathrm{d}S_s \right)^{-1} \right|^p < +\infty.$$

Since S_t has finite moments of all orders, this estimate follows by [16, p. 133, Lemma 2.3.1] and (3.5).

Acknowledgements:

The author is very grateful to Professors Zhenqing Chen and Fengyu Wang for their quite useful conversations. This work is supported by NSFs of China (No. 11271294) and Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-10-0654).

REFERENCES

[1] Applebaum D.: Lévy processes and stochastic calculus. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. Cambrdige Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.

[2] Bally V. and Clément E.: Integration by parts formula and applications to equations with jumps. Prob. Theory and Rela. Fields, 151, no.3-4, (2011), 613-657.

[3] Baudoin F. and Hairer M.: A version of Hörmander's theorem for the fractional Brownian motion. Prob. Theory Rela. Fields, 139, no.3-4, 373-395(2007).

[4] Bertoin J.: Lévy processes. Cambridge Tracts in Math. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1996.

[5] Bichtler K., Jacod J. and Gravereaux J.B.: Malliavin calculus for processes with jumps. Gordan and Breach Science Publishers, 1987.

[6] Bismut J.M.: Calcul des variations stochastiques et processus de sauts. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete, 63, 147-235(1983).

[7] Cass T.: Smooth densities for stochastic differential equations with jumps. Stochastic Processes and Their Applications. 119(2009), no.5, 1416-1435.

[8] Cass T. and Friz P.: Densities for rough differential equations under Hörmander's conditions. Ann of Math. (2) 171, n0.3, 2115-2141(2010).

[9] Fournier N. Jumping SDE's: Absolute continuity using monotonicity. Stoch. Proc. Appl., 98(2)317-330(2002).

[10] Hu Y. and Tindel S.: Smooth density for some nilpotent rough differential equations. arXiv:1104.1972v1.

[11] Ishikawa Y. and Kunita H.: Malliavin calculus on the Wiener-Poisson space and its application to canonical SDE with jumps. Stoch. Proc. Appl., 116(2006)1743-1769.

[12] Kulik A.: Conditions for existence and smoothness of the distribution density for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with Lévy noises. Theory Probab. Math. Statist. no.79(2009)23-38.

[13] Kusuoka S.: Malliavin calculus for stochastic differential equations driven by subordinated Brownian motions. Kyoto J. of Math. Vol.50, No.3, (2010), 491-520.

[14] Léandre R.: Calcul des variations sur un brownien subordonné, in Séminaire de Prob., XXII, LNM, 1321, 2006.

[15] Nourdin I. and Simon T.: On the absolute continuity of Lévy processes with drift. The Annals of Prob., Vol. 34, No.3 1035-1051(2006).

[16] Nualart D.: The Malliavin calculus and related topics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006.

[17] Picard J.: On the existence of smooth densities for jump processes. Prob. Theory Rela. Fields, Vol. 105, 481–511(1996).

[18] Priola E. and Zabczyk J.: Densities for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with jumps. Bull. London Math. Soc., Vol 41, 41-50(2009).

[19] Protter P.E.: Stochastic integration and differential equations. Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[20] Sato K.: Lévy processes and infinite divisible distributions. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[21] Takeuchi A. The Malliavin calculus for SDE with jumps and the partially hypoelliptic problem. Osaka J. Math. 39, (2002), 523-559.

[22] Zhang X.: Derivative formula and gradient estimate for SDEs driven by α-stable processes. arXiv:1204.2630

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, HUBEI 430072, P.R.CHINA, EMAIL: XICHENGZHANG@GMAIL.COM